



Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

# Mutual Chip Authentication

Lucjan Hanzlik, Kamil Kluczniak, Łukasz Krzywiecki,  
Mirosław Kutyłowski

Wrocław University of Technology  
Wrocław, Poland

ACS, TRUSTCOM 2013, Melbourne



# Introduction

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Personal identity cards - eID

- strong cryptographic authentication.
- wireless communication.

## Advantages

- Protection against forgeries.
- Remote authentication.

E.g.: new German identity card (Personalausweis).



# Extended Access Control (EAC)

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Goal

Authenticated key exchange between a chip and a terminal.

## Part 1: Terminal Authentication

- Authentication of an ephemeral key from the terminal.
- The ephemeral key is signed by the terminal.

## Part 2: Chip authentication

- Derivation of a session key.
- Authentication of the chip by proving the knowledge of a DH secret key.



# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $\text{cert}(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $\text{cert}(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{\text{cert}(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := \text{Sig}_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
Chip auth		
	$\xrightarrow{\text{cert}(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$		$K_A := H(K, 1)$
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := \text{MAC}_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{\text{session}} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{\text{session}} := (K_A, K_B)$



Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
Chip auth		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ , $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ , $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$ $K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$ $K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# EAC

## Anonymous Mutual Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
<b>verify certificate</b>	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
Chip auth		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,		$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
Chip auth		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,		$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$ $\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
Chip auth		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$ $K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$ $K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$





# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
Terminal auth		
verify certificate	$\leftarrow \frac{cert(y_T)}{\quad}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\leftarrow \frac{y_{ep}}{\quad}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\frac{r_A}{\rightarrow}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\leftarrow \frac{s}{\quad}$	
Chip auth		
	$\frac{cert(y_C)}{\rightarrow}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$		$K_A := H(K, 1)$
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\frac{r_B}{\rightarrow}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
<b>Terminal auth</b>		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
<b>Chip auth</b>		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,		$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# EAC

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Chip		Terminal
$x_C$ - private, $y_C = g^{x_C}$ - public, $cert(y_C)$		$x_T$ - signing key, $y_T$ - verification key, $cert(y_T)$
<b>Terminal auth</b>		
verify certificate	$\xleftarrow{cert(y_T)}$	choose $x_{ep}$ at random
choose $r_A$	$\xleftarrow{y_{ep}}$	$y_{ep} := g^{x_{ep}}$
	$\xrightarrow{r_A}$	$s := Sig_{x_T}(r_A    y_{ep})$
verify $s$ with $y_T$	$\xleftarrow{s}$	
<b>Chip auth</b>		
	$\xrightarrow{cert(y_C)}$	verify certificate
$K := y_{ep}^{x_C}$		$K := y_C^{x_{ep}}$
$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,		$K_A := H(K, 1)$ ,
$K_B := H(K, 2)$		$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K_C := H(K, 3)$		$K_C := H(K, 3)$
$r_B := MAC_{K_C}(c_T)$	$\xrightarrow{r_B}$	verify tag with $K_C$
$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$		$K_{session} := (K_A, K_B)$



# Privacy problems

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Klucznik,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Terminal

- strong evidence of terminal's presence
- the chip can send an  $x$  chosen by a third party and then returns a terminal's signature of  $x$ .
- the certificates are transmitted in clear, an observer gets information who it talking with the terminal

## Goal

- make interaction between the chip and the terminal anonymous for an observer,
- preserve simplicity,
- provable key security and provable anonymity.



# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}$ , $\text{cert}(y_A)$ random $a$ at random	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}$ , $\text{cert}(y_B)$ chose $b$ at random
$h_A := H(a)$ $c_A := g^{h_A}$	$h_B := H(b)$ $c_B := g^{h_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$
$r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	check $\text{cert}(y_A)$ $r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$ $r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$ $K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$  $K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$



# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}, \text{cert}(y_A)$ random $a$ at random	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}, \text{cert}(y_B)$ chose $b$ at random
$h_A := H(a)$	$h_B := H(b)$
$c_A := g^{h_A}$	$c_B := g^{h_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$
$K_A := H(K, 1),$	$K_A := H(K, 1),$
$K_B := H(K, 2)$	$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K'_A := H(K, 3),$	$K'_A := H(K, 3),$
$K'_B := H(K, 4)$	$K'_B := H(K, 4)$
$r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	check $\text{cert}(y_A)$
	$r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$
	$r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$	
$r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$	
$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$



# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}, \text{cert}(y_A)$	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}, \text{cert}(y_B)$
random $a$ at random $h_A := H(a)$ $c_A := g^{h_A}$	chose $b$ at random $h_B := H(b)$ $c_B := g^{h_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$
$r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	$\xrightarrow{\text{Enc}_{K_A}(\text{cert}(y_A), r_A)}$ check $\text{cert}(y_A)$
	$r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$
	$\xleftarrow{\text{Enc}_{K_B}(\text{cert}(y_B), r_B)}$ $r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$ $r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$ $K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$



# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}, \text{cert}(y_A)$	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}, \text{cert}(y_B)$
random $a$ at random $h_A := H(a)$ $c_A := g^{h_A}$	chose $b$ at random $h_B := H(b)$ $c_B := g^{h_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$ $r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$ $K_A := H(K, 1)$ $K_B := H(K, 2)$ $K'_A := H(K, 3)$ $K'_B := H(K, 4)$ check $\text{cert}(y_A)$ $r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$ $r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$ $r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$ $K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$





# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}, \text{cert}(y_A)$	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}, \text{cert}(y_B)$
random $a$ at random	chose $b$ at random
$h_A := H(a)$	$h_B := H(b)$
$c_A := g^{h_A}$	$c_B := g^{h_B}$
	$\xrightarrow{c_A}$
	$\xleftarrow{c_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$
$K_A := H(K, 1),$	$K_A := H(K, 1),$
$K_B := H(K, 2)$	$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K'_A := H(K, 3),$	$K'_A := H(K, 3),$
$K'_B := H(K, 4)$	$K'_B := H(K, 4)$
$r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	check $\text{cert}(y_A)$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{Enc}_{K_A}(\text{cert}(y_A), r_A)}$
	$r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$
	$\xleftarrow{\text{Enc}_{K_B}(\text{cert}(y_B), r_B)}$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$	$r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$
$r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$	
$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$



# AMA - Protocol Description

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

Alice	Bob
$x_A$ - private, $y_A = g^{x_A}, \text{cert}(y_A)$	$x_B$ - private, $y_B = g^{x_B}, \text{cert}(y_B)$
random $a$ at random	chose $b$ at random
$h_A := H(a)$	$h_B := H(b)$
$c_A := g^{h_A}$	$c_B := g^{h_B}$
$K := c_B^{h_A}$	$K := c_B^{h_A}$
$K_A := H(K, 1),$	$K_A := H(K, 1),$
$K_B := H(K, 2)$	$K_B := H(K, 2)$
$K'_A := H(K, 3),$	$K'_A := H(K, 3),$
$K'_B := H(K, 4)$	$K'_B := H(K, 4)$
$r_A := H(c_B^{x_A}, K'_A)$	check $\text{cert}(y_A)$
	$r_A \neq H(y_A^{h_B}, K'_A)$
	$r_B := H(c_A^{x_B}, K'_B)$
check $\text{cert}(y_B)$	
$r_B \neq H(y_B, K'_B)$	
$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$	$K_{\text{session}} := H(K, 5)$



# Privacy

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Transcript indistinguishability

- A communication transcript is undistinguishable from random values.
- Certificates are hidden from an eavesdropper, he does not know the identity of the communicating parties.
- It is still true if an adversary gets a batch of communication transcripts.

## Simultability

- Holding only the certificate (public key) of a user, we can generate the transcript with the same probability distribution.
- A communication transcript cannot be used as a proof, for third parties, that a particular user has participated in the communication.



# Security

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Long-term secret key leakage

If the long term secret keys of both parties leak, then a passive adversary still has negligible advantage to learn the secret key.

## Ephemeral key leakage

If the ephemeral keys of both parties leak, then an active adversary still has negligible advantage to learn the secret key.



# Efficiency and Implementation

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Klucznik,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Efficiency

	EAC	AMA
Exponentiations on chip	$1 + cert_{ver} + sign_{ver}$	$4 + cert_{ver}$
Exponentiations on terminal	$2 + sign_{gen} + cert_{ver}$	$4 + cert_{ver}$
Communication rounds	2	2

## Implementation

- Prototype implementation on Standard Java Card (Gemalto MultiApp ID V2.1)
- Average execution time for 500 tests:
  - 1.261 seconds - secp192r1 elliptic curve
  - 1.602 seconds - secp256r1 elliptic curve



# Conclusions

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

## Anonymous Mutual Authentication (AMA)

- Symmetric.
- Secure in Real-or-Random model.
- Simultable.
- Identities of protocol participants are hidden from eavesdroppers.
- As efficient as EAC.



# The End

Anonymous  
Mutual  
Authentication

Hanzlik,  
Kluczniak,  
Krzywiecki,  
Kutyłowski

# Thanks for your attention.

Acknowledgment. We thank Gemalto company for the technical support.