

# eID in Europe - Password Authentication Revisited

Mirosław Kutylowski, Yanmei Cao, Patryk Kozieł, Przemysław Kubiak

Wrocław University Of Science and Technology, Wrocław, Poland  
Xidian University, Xi'an, People's Republic of China

# Background

- EU Regulation makes PACE password authentication key exchange obligatory on official personal ID cards issued after Aug. 2, 2021
- additional (compatible) protocols allowed explicitly by EU

# Background

- EU Regulation makes PACE password authentication key exchange obligatory on official personal ID cards issued after Aug. 2, 2021
- additional (compatible) protocols allowed explicitly by EU
- our contribution – an extension of PACE:
  - ▶ **PACE Proof-of-Presence** protocol
  - ▶ **added functionality:** eID gets a proof of interaction with a terminal that can be checked by third parties
  - ▶ strong authentication of the terminal during the session based on possession of a secret key

# Design features

- **backwards compatibility**: connection should be established even if the terminal or the eID runs the plain PACE version
- **minimal changes**: just fine tune the original protocol
- **reuse** the code and expensive cryptographic operations
- guarantee that the **security arguments** for the plain version are **still valid**

# Changes to original PACE (in grey boxes)

| eID(A)  |   | Reader(B)  |
|---|---|--|
| <b>holds:</b><br>$\pi$ - password   |   | <b>holds:</b><br>$\pi$ password (e.g. entered by the user)<br>$z_B, Z_B = g^{z_B}$ - private and public key<br>$\text{cert}(Z_B)$ - certificate for $Z_B$<br>arbitrary message $M$ , e.g. the current time |
| $\mathcal{G}$ - parameters of a group of order $q$  |   |  |
| Protocol execution  |   |  |
| $K_\pi := H(\pi  0)$<br>choose $s \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ at random<br>$z := \text{Enc}(K_\pi, s)$   | $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}, z}$            | $K_\pi := H(\pi  0)$<br>abort if $\mathcal{G}$ incorrect, decrypt $z$  |
| abort if $X_B \notin \langle g \rangle \setminus \{1\}$<br>choose $x_A \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ at random<br>$X_A := g^{x_A}$<br>$h := X_B^{x_A}$ (abort if $h = 1$ )<br>$\hat{g} := h \cdot g^s$ | $\xleftarrow{X_B}$<br>$\xrightarrow{X_A}$ | choose $x_B \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ at random<br>$X_B := g^{x_B}$<br><br>$h := X_A^{x_B}$ (abort if $h = 1$ )<br>$\hat{g} := h \cdot g^s$   |
| choose $y_A \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \setminus \{0\}$ at random<br>$Y_A := \hat{g}^{y_A}$  | $\xleftarrow{Y_B}$<br>$\xrightarrow{Y_A}$ | $y_B := x_B + z_B \cdot H(M, X_B, X_A) \bmod q$<br>$Y_B := \hat{g}^{y_B}$  |
| abort if $Y_B = X_B$<br>$K := Y_B^{y_A}$<br>$K_{\text{Enc}} := H(K  1), K_{\text{MAC}} := H(K  2)$<br>$K'_{\text{MAC}} := H(K  3), K'_{\text{Enc}} := H(K  4)$  |   | abort if $Y_A = X_A$<br>$K := Y_A^{y_B}$<br>$K_{\text{Enc}} := H(K  1), K_{\text{MAC}} := H(K  2)$<br>$K'_{\text{MAC}} := H(K  3), K'_{\text{Enc}} := H(K  4)$   |
| $T_A := \text{MAC}(K'_{\text{MAC}}, (Y_B, \mathcal{G}))$  | $\xleftarrow{T_B}$<br>$\xrightarrow{T_A}$ | $T_B := \text{MAC}(K'_{\text{MAC}}, (Y_A, \mathcal{G}))$   |
| abort if $T_B$ incorrect  |   | abort if $T_A$ incorrect   |
| Terminal's Signature  |   |  |
| abort if $\text{cert}(Z_B)$ invalid or<br>$g^{y_B} \neq X_B \cdot Z_B^{H(M, X_B, X_A)}$ or $Y_B \neq \hat{g}^{y_B}$<br>output Schnorr signature $(X_B, y_B)$ together with $X_A, M$                               | $\xleftarrow{C_B}$                        | $C_B := \text{Enc}(K'_{\text{Enc}}, (M, y_B, \text{cert}(Z_B)))$   |

# Comments

- **reduction**: security of PACE Proof of Presence reduced to security of the original PACE
- **fragility** of PACE Proof-of-presence - any manipulation of the messages exchanged results in a connection failure (not the case for the original PACE)
- **other such extensions** are possible (strong mutual authentication, eID's proof of presence, signature, ...)