

1. One of the methods of authentication is to use one-time passwords printed under scratch fields.

An option is to use a chain of hashes:

- choose r at random,
- put $\pi_{1000} = r$
- for $i < 1000$, $\pi_i := \text{Hash}(\pi_{i+1})$

Then, the password used in the i th round is π_i .

How to store efficiently the passwords on the side of a system? How to extend the method so that, say, 1.000.000 one-time passwords can be generated?

2. For authentication based on login and password, the password entered by a user should be compared with the password stored for this user by the system.

Compare the following options for storing users' passwords. For storing a password π of user U , there is the following record with the index U :

via encryption: $\text{Enc}_K(\pi)$, where K is a secret key of the system,

via encryption with salt: $[\text{Enc}_K(\pi, r), r]$, where K is a secret key of the system, r is chosen at random for this record,

via hashing: $\text{Hash}(\pi)$,

via hashing with salt: $[\text{Hash}(\pi, r), r]$, where r is chosen at random for this record,

via HMAC with salt: $[\text{HMAC}_K(\pi, r), r]$, where K is a secret key of the system, r is chosen at random for this record,

Which method MUST NOT be used in practice and why?

3. Read the specification available via the following url:

<https://one.google.com/about/vpn/howitworks>

List all threats/attacks that apply for the traditional approach and which disappear once the method described in the specification is used.

4. Assume that for Schnorr identification protocol the pseudo-random generator turns out to be weak. What may happen?

5. HB and HB+ authentication protocols have been designed in order to use only linear algebra.

HB+ works as follows. The shared secret are the binary vectors x and y . Authentication of A requires executing the following round multiple times:

- (a) A chooses a vector b at random and sends b to Verifier,
- (b) Verifier chooses a vector a at random and sends a to A ,
- (c) A computes $z = \langle a, x \rangle \oplus \langle b, y \rangle \oplus e$, where bit e equals 1 with probability p , \oplus denotes the XOR operation, and $\langle a, x \rangle$ is the scalar product of vectors a and x
- (d) A sends z to Verifier,
- (e) Verifier computes $z' = \langle a, x \rangle \oplus \langle b, y \rangle$, the round succeeds for him if $z = z'$

Verifier accepts A if an appropriate majority of rounds succeeds (what is “appropriate” follows from probability theory).

- (a) this scheme is related to the LPN problem. In which way?
- (b) HB+ is nevertheless insecure. The attacker changes a sent by the verifier to $a' := a \oplus \delta$ – in each round with the same vector δ – and observes what happens.
How does this help the attacker to collect information on the secret key?

/-/ Mirosław Kutylowski