CRYPTOGRAPHY LECTURE, 2022

Computer Science and Algorithmics, PWr

Mirosław Kutyłowski

# Key agreement

#### Session key

- *−* if communication has to be encrypted, we need a secret key *K*
- *−* a symmetric scheme may be used, once the communicating parties share the key *K*
- *−* how to establish a shared key?

# Shared key schemes

- *−* Alice and Bob hold a long term shared key *L*
- *−* current session key derived from *L*
- *−* it should be unpredictable

### A typical scheme

- i. Alice chooses a random nonce  $r_A$  and sends  $Enc<sub>L</sub>(r_A, Alice)$  to Bob
- ii. Bob decrypts the ciphertext, chooses a random nonce  $r_B$  and returns the following ciphertext to Bob  $Enc<sub>L</sub>(r<sub>B</sub>, r<sub>A</sub>, Bob)$  to Bob
- iii. Alice decrypts and checks whether  $r_A$  is contained in the plaintext
- iv. both parties compute the session key  $K := F(r_A, r_B)$ , where F is a special one-way function (hash function)

#### **Properties**

- *−* it suffices that randomness on one side is strong
- *−* dishonest Bob has more influence on the final session key than Alice
- *−* input for breaking *L* is very limited if the encryption scheme is carefully chosen
	- *−* for any key *S*:Enc*L*(*x*) should be a valid ciphertext with key *S*, that is:  $Dec_S(Enc_L(x))$  will not fail

#### Mobile networks

session keys established for a base station and a "subscriber" (SIM card)

- i based on long term shared keys shared by the SIM card and a Telecom
- ii the long term shared key must not be sent to the base station (run by a different company)
- iii Telecom cannot manage all requests in real time (latency,...)

### Solution:

- i. Telecom sends to the serving network a list of entries:  $(c_i, K_i)$ , where  $K_i = F(L, c_i)$
- ii. upon connection: the base station sends the challenge *ci*, the SIM card computes  $K_i := F(L, c_i)$
- iii. master session key is *Ki*, used for PRNG and stream ciphers authentication is based of knowledge of the shared key *K<sup>i</sup>*

### **WIFI**

protocols based on a shared secret, WEP (serious weaknesses), WPA (strong but ...also some concerns)

WPA (WPA-PSK - WPA with preshared key)

- *−* PMK Pairwise Master Key is preshared
- *−* PTK (Pairwise Transient Key) derived as a session key namely: PTK=*f*(PMK*;* ANonce*;* SNonce)
- *− f* can be chosen
- *−* PTK splitted into TK (Temporal Key), KCK (Key Confirmation Key), KEK (Key Encryption Key)
- *−* for WPA2 also GPK (Group Temporal Key) transported to the "supplicant" (user) (used by Access Point for broadcast)

#### How to establish a shared key?

#### Bluetooth concept:

- *−* establish a shared key in a secure environment during the 1st pairing of devices
- *−* the only protection: codes on both devices:
	- *−* avoiding confusion
	- *−* explicit consent of the user

#### Similar concepts:

- *−* plaintext communication over power supply in a house
- *−* plaintext optical communication

# Ideal key exchange

a protocol executed between Alice and Bob such that

- *−* passive adversary: Mallet can hear each message transmitted
- *−* randomness: Alice and Bob use some randomness (say *r<sup>A</sup>* and *rB*)
- *−* correctness: (with very high probability) Alice and Bob derive the same session key
- *−* security:

$$
|Pr[E(k,\tau)=1:r_A,r_B\overset{\$}{\leftarrow}U_n,\tau=\pi(r_A,r_B)]-Pr[E(U_\kappa,\tau)=1:r_A,r_B\overset{\$}{\leftarrow}U_n,\tau=\pi(r_A,r_B)]|\leq negl(\kappa).
$$

meaning: anything that Mallet can derive from the transcript of communica tion  $\tau$  and the correct key, he can compute for  $\tau$  and the random key

### Discussion:

$$
|Pr[E(k,\tau)=1:r_A,r_B\overset{\$}{\leftarrow}U_n,\tau=\pi(r_A,r_B)]-Pr[E(U_\kappa,\tau)=1:r_A,r_B\overset{\$}{\leftarrow}U_n,\tau=\pi(r_A,r_B)]|\leq negl(\kappa).
$$

#### Diffie-Hellman key exchange in a group *G*

a seminal protocol for modern public-key cryptography,

i. Alice

- a. chooses *r<sup>A</sup>* at random
- b. computes  $c_A := g^{r_A}$  in  $G$
- c. sends *c<sup>A</sup>* to Bob
- d. receives *c<sup>B</sup>* from Bob
- e. calculates  $K$  :=  $c_B^{r_A}$  in  $G$

ii. Bob

- a. chooses *r<sup>B</sup>* at random
- b. computes  $c_B := g^{r_B}$  in  $G$
- c. sends *c<sup>B</sup>* to Alice
- d. receives *c<sup>A</sup>* from Alice
- e. calculates  $K$  :=  $c_A^{r_B}$

Note that  $K_{\text{Bob}} = c_A^{r_B} = g^{r_A r_B} = g^{r_B r_A} = c_B^{r_A} = K_{\text{Alice}}$ 

#### Computational Diffie-Hellman Problem

given  $g$  ,  $g^a$  ,  $g^b$  compute  $g^{\rm ab}$  in the group  $G$ 

remark: it is easy to compute  $g^a\cdot g^b\!=\!g^{a+b}$ 

CDH assumption (we believe that it holds for certain groups)

given  $g$  ,  $A(=g^a), B(=g^b)$  chosen at random in  $G$ ,

it is infeasible to compute  $C=g^{a\cdot b}$ 

#### Example group:

*Z<sup>p</sup>* with multiplication modulo *p*, where *p* is a large prime number

#### Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem

- a game where a challenger
	- i. chooses  $g^c, g^d$  at random
	- ii. chooses bit *b* at random
- iii. if  $b\!=\!0$ , then  $R\!:=\!g^{c\cdot d}$ , else  $R$  is chosen at random
- iv. presents the values  $(g, g^c, g^d, R)$

the adversary responds  $\,$  a bit  $b'$  and wins if  $\,b\!=\!b'$ .  $\,$ 

#### DDH Assumption

advantage of the adversary in the above game is negligible

meaning: it is infeasible to distinguish a random key from the real key, so the commpunication transcript is useless for the adversary

#### Man-in-the-middle attack (MitM)

- *−* Alice and Bob think that they are executing DH protocol ...
- *−* ... but in fact:

Alice in talking with Mallet, Bob is talking with Mallet

- *−* Alice establishes a session key *K* (with Mallet)
- *−* Bob establishes a session key *K<sup>0</sup>* (with Mallet)
- *−* during the session:
	- $\rightarrow$  when Alice sends  $C = \text{Enc}_K(M)$  then Mallet forwards to Bob the ciphertext  $\text{Enc}_{K'}(\text{Dec}_{K}(C))$
	- $\rightarrow$  similarly from Bob to Alice
	- $\rightarrow$  Mallet learns every single message in plaintext!

# Static Diffie-Hellman protocol

- $\bullet$  Alice holds a long term public key  $X_A = g^{x_A}$  and the private key  $x_A$
- Bob knows that  $X_A$  is the key of Alice

### Protocol

- i. Bob chooses  $r_B$  at random, computes  $c_B := g^{r_B}$  and  $\operatorname{sends} c_B$  to Alice,
- ii. Alice computes  $K$  :=  $c_B^{x_A}$
- iii. Bob computes  $K$  :=  $X_A^{r_B}$

## Properties:

- *−* MitM does not work
- *−* it is necessary to know *X<sup>A</sup>* and trust it

#### Shamir 's Key Transport Protocol

- 1. the sender chooses a key *m*
- 2. the sender chooses  $d_1$  at random, calculates  $e_1 := m^{d_1}$  and sends  $e_1$  to the receiver
- 3. the receiver chooses  $d_2$  at random, calculates  $e_2\!:=\!e_1^{d_2}$ , and sends  $e_2$  back to the sender
- 4. the sender computes  $e_3\!:=\!e_2^{1/d_1}$  and sends it to the receiver

 $(\textsf{note that } e_3\!=\! ((m^{d_1})^{d_2})^{1/d_1}\!=\!m^{d_2})$ 

5. the receiver calculates  $m':=e_3^{1/d_2}$ 

# Key agreement with public key encryption

A session key *K* chosen by one party and then encrypted with the public key of the receiver (nobody can decrypt except for the receiver)

... reduces the number of messages compared with Shamir

... better cryptographic properties than static DH

(static DH creates an oracle for computing *A<sup>x</sup>A*)