CRYPTOGRAPHY LECTURE, 2022

Computer Science and Algorithmics, PWr

Mirosław Kutyłowski

# Asymmetric Encryption Schemes

#### Asymmetric encryption

- *−* Alice holds a pair of keys:
	- *−* a private key SK (kept secret by Alice)
	- *−* a public key PK (available to some other people)

### Asymmetric encryption

Goal: Bob holding PK can send a message *M* to Alice so that only *M* can read it

- $C := \mathrm{Enc}_{\mathrm{PK}}(M)$
- *− C* sent to Alice
- $−$  Alice calculates:  $M := \text{Dec}_{SK}(C)$

## Conditions:

- *−* without SK it should be infeasible to learn anything about *M*
- *−* so in particular:
	- *−* infeasible to derive SK from PK
	- *−* Enc must be a randomized function(otherwise test whether a given *C* fulfils the equality  $\text{Enc}_{PK}(U) = C$  for a candidate U for a plaintext)

# Security - formal requirement

- *−* generate a pair of keys (PK*;* SK) according to the scheme
- $−$  choose plaintexts  $m_0$ ,  $m_1$
- *−* then for any efficient algorithm *A* in the following game the adversary has a negligible advantage
	- i choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  at random
	- ii present  $\text{Enc}_{PK}(m_b)$ , PK,  $m_0, m_1$  to A
	- iii  ${\mathcal A}$  outputs  $b'$  and wins if  $b'\!=\!b$

#### Attention:

the length of the plaintext cannot be fully hidden,

so in the above definition Enc encrypt the messages of a fixed size

#### Exercise:

you may consider a game with two sequences of messages:  $m^1_0, m^2_0, \ldots, m^k_0$  and  $m^1_1, m^2_1, \ldots, m^k_1$  and challenge  ${\cal A}$  with the ciphertexts  $\text{Enc}_{\text{PK}}(m_b^1), \text{Enc}_{\text{PK}}(m_b^2), \dots, \text{Enc}(m_b^k)$ 

and ask to guess *b*

It turns out that this definition is equivalent to the previous one

# ElGamal public key encryption

based on a group where DDH assumption holds, e.g.

- *−* take Z*<sup>p</sup>* for a large prime number *p*,
- *−* Z*<sup>p</sup>* has order *p −* 1 = 2 *q*, choose *p* so that *q* is prime
- *−* find  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  of order  $q$  (take  $g_0$  at random, set  $g = g_0^2 \bmod p$  provided that  $q \neq 1$

### Key generation:

- i. choose *x < q* at random
- ii. put  $SK = x$  and  $PK = g^x$

# ElGamal public key encryption

#### Encryption of *m*

- i. choose *k* at random
- ii.  $C := (\text{PK}^k \cdot m, g^k)$

## Decryption of  $C = (A, B)$

calculate  $m := A/B<sup>SK</sup>$ 

$$
\text{correctness: } \frac{A}{B^\text{SK}} = \frac{\text{PK}^k \cdot m}{(g^k)^\text{SK}} = \frac{\text{PK}^k \cdot m}{(g^\text{SK})^k} = \frac{\text{PK}^k \cdot m}{\text{PK}^k} = m
$$

# Security of ElGamal

### indistinguishable distributions:

- 
$$
H_0 = \{(g^x, g^r, g^{x \cdot r} \cdot m_0): x, r - \text{random}\}\
$$

- 
$$
H_1 = \{(g^x, g^r, g^z \cdot m_0): x, r, z - \text{random}\}\
$$

- 
$$
H_2 = \{(g^x, g^r, g^z): x, r, z - \text{random}\}\)
$$

- 
$$
H_3 = \{(g^x, g^r, g^z \cdot m_1): x, r, z - \text{random}\}\
$$

- 
$$
H_4 = \{(g^x, g^r, g^{x \cdot r} \cdot m_1) : x, r - \text{random}\}\
$$

# ElGamal properties

*<sup>−</sup>* reencryption: given (*A; B*) = (PK*<sup>k</sup> m; g <sup>k</sup>*) one can get another cipher text of the same *m*:

$$
(A \cdot \mathbf{P} \mathbf{K}^{\delta}, B \cdot g^{\delta}) \quad (=[\mathbf{P} \mathbf{K}^{k+\delta} \cdot m, g^{k+\delta}])
$$

*−* homomorphic:  $(\text{PK}^k \cdot m, g^k) \cdot (\text{PK}^{k'} \cdot m', g^{k'})$  equals a ciphertext of  $m \cdot m'$ :

$$
(\text{PK}^{k+k'} \cdot (m \cdot m'), g^{k+k'})
$$

*<sup>−</sup>* manipulating plaintext of (*A; B*) = (PK*<sup>k</sup> m; g <sup>k</sup>*):

 $(A \cdot u, B)$  is a ciphertext of  $m \cdot u$ 

# RSA encryption

- *−* based on RSA numbers: *n* = *p q*, where *p* and *q* are large prime numbers
- factorization is generally a hard problem if prime factors are large
- $−$  take  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  the numbers invertible modulo  $n$  (that is, coprime with  $p$  and  $q$ )
- *−* Z*<sup>n</sup>* is a group with multiplication mod*n*, with (*n*)=(*p−*1)(*q −*1) elements

# RSA specification

i. find different large primes *p*, *q* of bitlength 1024 (or 2048, ...) (how to do it??)

preferably *p* and *q* are strong:  $(p-1)/2$  and  $(q-1)/2$  are prime

ii.  $n := p \cdot q$ 

iii. take *e* coprime with  $(p-1)(q-1)$ 

iv. compute *d* such that  $e \cdot d = 1 \mod (p-1)(q-1)$  (Extended Euclidean Algo-<br>rithm)

#### Keys:

- *−* SK= *d*
- *−* PK= (*n; e*)

#### Encryption of *m*

- 1.  $m_0$  := encode( $m$ ) get a number  $m_0 < n$  (from binary representation via some padding)
- 2.  $\text{Enc}_{n,e}(m) = m_0^e \mod n$

#### Decryption of *c*

- $1.$  compute  $m_0 \mathbin{:=} c^d \bmod n$
- 2. *m* := encode*−*<sup>1</sup> (*m*0)

#### Magic

$$
c^{d} = (m_0^e)^d = m_0^{e \cdot d} = m_0^{1+i \cdot (p-1)(q-1)} = m_0 \cdot m_0^{i(p-1)(q-1)} = m_0
$$

the last equality follows from the fact that

- *−* Z*<sup>n</sup>* has (*p −* 1)(*q −* 1) elements
- *−* if a group has *k* elements, then *a <sup>k</sup>*=1 for each element *a* from the group (Euler's Theorem)

#### RSA Assumption

computing the *e*th root of *c* is infeasible

(unless you know *d* such that  $e \cdot d = 1 \mod (p-1)(q-1)$ )

## **Observations**

i. if you have *d* then you may compute *p* and *q*:

a. 
$$
e \cdot d - 1 = i \cdot (p - 1)(q - 1) = i \cdot (n + 1 - (p + q))
$$

b. you may easily estimate *i* and later find  $z = p + q$ 

c. solve equation  $n = x \cdot (z - x)$ 

ii. so two users must not share the same *n*

iii. breaking an RSA ciphertext is not necessarily via finding *d* (there is a similar scheme - Rabin - where it is equivalent)

#### Properties of RSA

- i.  $u^e \cdot v^e = (u \cdot v)^e \mod n$  so depending on the encoding it might be the case that  $\text{Enc}_{n,d}(u) \cdot \text{Enc}_{n,d}(v) = \text{Enc}_{n,d}(u \cdot v)$
- ii. due to the size of  $n$  the ciphertexts are quite long (e.g. 2K)
- iii. computation intensive on long integers (however exponentiation imple mented in a clever way)

# Hybrid encryption

dividing into block and encrypting each block with RSA would be tedious

### Hybrid encryption of a long file *D*:

- i. choose a symmetric key *K* at random
- ii.  $C :=$ RSA − Enc<sub>n;d</sub>(*K*)
- iii.  $S := AES Enc<sub>K</sub>(D)$
- iv. output (*C; S*)

decryption in the reverse order

#### Malicious Application - Ransomware:

- *−* ransomware program *R* installed on a computer
- *− R* runs:
	- i applies a one way-function  $F$  to compute a symmetric key  $K$ , namely  $K = F(D)$  where *D* is the data to be encrypted (in practice, F is a hash function)
	- ii encrypts D on the disk: replaces D with  $\text{Enc}_{K}(D)$  (symmetric scheme) and attaches  $R = RSA - Enc_{PK}(K)$ , where PK is the public key

iii leaves a message: "pay  $\ldots$  bitcoins to get the decryption key"

- *−* the victim pays ransom,
- *−* the criminal holding the secret key corresponding to PK decrypts *R* to get *K* and sends to the victim
- the victim decrypts the ciphertext  $Enc<sub>K</sub>(D)$

# Pallier scheme

## Properties:

- *−* homomorphic scheme:  $\text{Enc}_{PK}(m) \cdot \text{Enc}_{PK}(m') = \text{Enc}_{PK}(m + m')$
- *−* based on RSA modulus *n* and computations modulo *n* 2
- *<sup>−</sup>* basic observation: (1 + *n*)*<sup>m</sup>* = 1 + *m* + *n* <sup>2</sup>(*: : :*) = 1 + *m n* mod *n* 2
- *−* secret information: factors *p*, *q* of *n* = *p q*

### Encryption of *m*:

let  $q = n + 1$ 

i. choose *r < n* at random

ii.  $c := g^m \cdot r^n \bmod n^2$ 

# Pallier decryption

### Decryption keys:

$$
-\lambda = \text{lcm} (p-1, q-1),
$$
  

$$
-\mu = L(g^{\lambda} \mod n^2)^{-1} \mod n, \text{ where } L(x) = \frac{x-1}{n}
$$
  
**Decryption**

$$
m := L(c^{\lambda} \bmod n^2) \cdot \mu \bmod n
$$

#### Why it works?

$$
c^{\lambda} = (g^m \cdot r^n)^{\lambda} = g^{m \cdot \lambda} \cdot r^{n \cdot \lambda} \bmod n^2
$$

order of the group is  $\phi(n^2) = p(p-1) \cdot q(q-1)$ , but from the structure of the group it follows that the order of each element divides  $n\cdot\lambda$  so  $r^{n\cdot\lambda}\!=\!1\ \mathrm{mod}\ n^2$ 

$$
c^{\lambda} = g^{m \cdot \lambda} = 1 + n \cdot m \cdot \lambda \bmod n^2
$$

 $L(c^{\lambda} \mod n^2) = m \cdot \lambda \mod n$ 

# Cramer-Shoup encryption

resistant to manipulations,

the same group as for ElGamal, cyclic group with  $q$  elements, DDH hard,  $g_1, g_2$ are random generators

### key generation:

i. choose  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z < q$  independently at random

ii. 
$$
SK = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z)
$$

iii.  $c := g_1^{x_1} \cdot g_2^{x_2}, d := g_1^{y_1} \cdot g_2^{y_2}, h := g_1^{z}$ 

iv.  $PK = (c, d, h)$  together with parameters  $g_1, g_2$ 

#### Cramer-Shoup Encryption of *m*

- i. choose *k* at random
- ii.  $u_1 := g_1^k$ ,  $u_2 := g_2^k$
- iii.  $e := h^k \cdot m$
- iv.  $\alpha := H(u_1, u_2, e)$
- v.  $v:=c^kd^{k\cdot\alpha}$
- vi. output  $(u_1, u_2, e, v)$

## Cramer-Shoup Decryption of  $(u_1, u_2, e, v)$

- i.  $(e, u_1)$  is in fact an ElGamal ciphertext  $(h^k\cdot m, g_1^k)$ , so  $m$  can be derived as before
- ii. integrity check:
	- a.  $\alpha := H(u_1, u_2, e)$
	- b. check whether  $u_1^{x_1} u_2^{x_2} (u_1^{y_1} u_2^{y_2})^{\alpha} = v$

# Padding

### Problems:

1. the schemes like RSA enable manipulations of the plaintext by manipulations on a ciphertext

2. plaintexts are sometimes too short

To show: well-designed padding can solve the problem

## RSA OEAP

- *−* the concept somewhat similar to the Feistel network
- *−* padding transformation before application of the RSA exponentiation
- *−* all-or-nothing concept

# OEAP-Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding

#### parameters:

- *− m* is the length of the RSA modulus
- *− k*0*; k*<sup>1</sup> are fixed parameters *<m*
- *− G* and *H* are hash functions: output of *G* has *m − k*<sup>0</sup> bits, output of *H* has  $k_0$  bits
- *−* input message of length  $m k_0 k_1$

## padding:

- i. add  $k_1$  zeroes to  $M: M00...0$
- ii. choose a random string  $r$  of length  $k_0$

iii.  $X := M00...0 \oplus G(r)$ 

iv.  $Y := r \oplus H(X)$ 

## output:  $X||Y$

### OEAP

padding:

- i. add  $k_1$  zeroes to  $M: M00...0$
- ii. choose a random string  $r$  of length  $k_0$
- iii.  $X := M00...0 \oplus G(r)$
- iv.  $Y := r \oplus H(X)$

## Reverse operation:

- i.  $r := Y \oplus H(X)$
- ii. calculate  $X \oplus G(r)$
- iii. if no  $k_1$  zeroes at the end then abort (manipulation detected!)
- iv. otherwise truncate  $k_1$  zeroes